15

20

25

30

35

# A LINK ADAPTATION METHOD FOR USE IN A MOBILE RADIOCOMMUNICATION SYSTEM

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is based on French Patent Application No. 00 13 480 filed October 20, 2000, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference thereto in its entirety, and the priority of which is hereby claimed under 35 U.S.C. §119.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### Field of the invention

The present invention relates generally to mobile radiocommunication systems.

## Description of the prior art

Errors can occur in mobile radiocommunication systems when estimating data on reception, caused mainly by distortion of the transmitted signal due to multipath propagation, thermal noise and all the various sources of interference.

Redundancy is generally added to enable the receiver to retrieve the information bits transmitted, i.e. more than one bit is transmitted for each information bit. This technique is known as channel coding and the quantity of redundancy, defined as the ratio of the number of information bits to the number of bits transmitted, is referred to as the coding rate. The coding rate is therefore a number from 0 to 1, and the smaller the number, the greater the quantity of redundancy.

In the case of data transmission, it is necessary for each information bit to be received correctly. However, even for low coding rates and high transmission powers, errors are always possible on reception in the case of severe radio conditions. Another technique, known as the Automatic Repeat reQuest (ARQ) technique, is used in addition to the channel coding technique. It simply consists of retransmitting blocks of information bits that have not been received correctly by the receiver until they are received correctly. The proportion of blocks retransmitted is referred to as the BLock Erasure Rate (BLER) and depends to a significant degree on the coding rate (the BLER is an increasing function of the coding rate).

Thus the channel coding technique and the ARQ technique enable data to be received correctly in all radio conditions. However, a main drawback of these techniques is that they use some of the radio resources for a purpose other than increasing the net bit rate. The net bit rate is the bit rate obtained after deducting from the raw bit rate (the bit rate actually transmitted at the radio interface)

10

15

20

25

30

35

everything that is not useful to the user, such as the redundancy introduced by the coding or the blocks not received correctly.

To maximize the net bit rate, it is therefore necessary to optimize the coding rate. This optimization is not a simple matter, since the relationship between the BLER and the coding rate is highly dependent on radio conditions. If radio conditions are poor, a low coding rate is preferable to avoid an excessively high number of retransmissions. In contrast, if radio conditions are good, a high coding rate is sufficient and produces a high net bit rate.

A plurality of coding schemes with different coding rates are necessary to obtain a net bit rate optimized for all radio conditions. They enable the coding rate to be adapted dynamically as a function of radio conditions. Thus a more rugged coding scheme (i.e. one having a lower coding rate) can be selected if radio conditions are degraded or, conversely, a less rugged coding scheme (i.e. one having a higher coding rate) can be selected if radio conditions improve. This technique is known as link adaptation.

For example, the GSM (Global System for Mobile Communication) standard specifies four coding schemes for the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS). The four coding schemes are denoted CS1 to CS4 and have coding rates from 0.5 to 1.

The link adaptation technique can also be used for dynamic adaptation of parameters of the system other than the coding rate, for example the modulation spectral efficiency (i.e. the capacity of the modulation to transmit a larger or smaller number of bits per symbol for the same allocated frequency band). Thus a more efficient but less rugged modulation scheme can be selected if radio conditions are degraded or, conversely, a more efficient but less rugged modulation scheme can be selected if radio conditions improve.

The link adaptation technique can also be applied to a combination of coding and modulation schemes. For example, the GSM standard specifies nine coding and modulation schemes MCS1 to MCS9 for the Enhanced General Packet Radio Service (EGPRS).

In the case of speech transmission, the link adaptation technique can also be applied to a combination of channel coding and speech coding (or source coding) schemes. This technique is known as the Adaptive Multi-Rate (AMR) technique.

Radio conditions are generally represented by a radio criterion, or quality indicator, such as in particular the raw Bit Error Rate (BER), the BLock Erasure Rate (BLER), the Signal-to-Interference Ratio (SIR), etc.

15

20

25

30

35

The theory of link adaptation is generally based on a system of N - 1 ordered thresholds  $S_1$  to  $S_{N-1}$ , where N is the number of coding and/or modulation schemes  $C_1$  to  $C_N$ . These thresholds are called decision thresholds, and are defined so that, if the radio criterion used is between the thresholds  $S_1$  and  $S_{N-1}$  (where i is from 1 to N - 2), the coding and/or modulation scheme  $C_1$  is selected. If the radio criterion employed is below the threshold  $S_1$ , the coding and/or modulation scheme  $C_1$  is selected. If the radio criterion employed is above the threshold  $S_{N-1}$ , the coding and/or modulation scheme  $C_N$  is selected.

The radio criterion is generally obtained by measurements referred to as radio measurements. The results of a plurality of successive measurements are generally averaged to obtain a more accurate value for the radio criterion.

However, using such means is not without its drawbacks. Adaptation is then slower (because the average does not depend only on the result of the most recent measurement, but also on the results of preceding measurements). It is then very dangerous to average over too long a time period because the adaptation may then not be fast enough if radio conditions are degraded rapidly, and performance may then be significantly affected, i.e. quality can be significantly degraded, and there is even a risk of the call being out off.

One object of the present invention is to avoid such drawbacks.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Thus the present invention provides a method of link adaptation in a mobile radiocommunication system, said method including selecting a coding and/or modulation scheme as a function of radio conditions represented by an average of radio measurement results, and said method being such that said average includes:

- an average over a relatively short period for rapidly selecting a more rugged coding and/or modulation scheme if radio conditions are degraded rapidly, or
- an average over a relatively longer period for selecting a less rugged coding and/or modulation scheme or a more rugged coding and/or modulation scheme if radio conditions are not rapidly degraded.

According to another feature, said selection is based on a system of thresholds which have a first value for determining if radio conditions are degraded rapidly and a second value for determining if radio conditions are not degraded rapidly, said second value being relatively higher or relatively lower than said first value according to whether the value of said radio measurements increases or decreases when radio conditions are degraded.

10

15

25

According to another feature, said radio measurements include raw BER measurements.

According to another feature, said radio measurements include SIR measurements.

According to another feature, if one of said coding schemes has a coding rate equal to 1, said radio measurements for selecting a more rugged coding scheme from said coding scheme having a coding rate equal to 1 include measurements other than raw BER measurements.

According to another feature, if one of said coding schemes has a coding rate equal to 1, said radio measurements for selecting said coding scheme having a code rate equal to 1 from a more rugged coding scheme include raw BER measurements and measurements other than raw BER measurements.

According to another feature, said radio measurements other than raw BER measurements include SIR measurements.

According to another feature, said radio measurements other than raw BER measurements include received signal power level measurements.

According to another feature, when transmission resumes on said link to which said link adaptation is applied following an interruption of transmission, and if said measurements have not been effected during said interruption of transmission, said coding and/or modulation scheme that was being used before said interruption of transmission is used if said period of interruption is relatively short or a default coding and/or modulation scheme is used otherwise.

According to another feature, said default coding and/or modulation scheme is the most rugged coding and/or modulation scheme.

According to another feature, said average is obtained by means of an exponential filter defined by a forget factor parameter that is expressed directly as a function of the time period between two measurements or an approximation thereof.

According to another feature, said filter is defined by equations of the following type:

$$30 \quad \bullet \quad y_{n+1} = \alpha^{\Delta t_n} y_n + 1$$

• 
$$AV_{M_{n+1}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_{n+1}}\right)AV_{M_n} + \frac{1}{y_{n+1}}m_{n+1}$$

in which:

- AV\_ $M_{n+1}$  is the value of AV\_M after an (n+1)<sup>th</sup> measurement  $m_{n+1}$ ,
- $\Delta t_{\text{n}}$  designates the time interval between the  $n^{\text{th}}$  measurement and the

10

15

20

25

30

35

(n+1)th measurement, or an approximation of that time interval, and

- α is a parameter defining the filter.

The present invention also provides a mobile radiocommunication system including means for implementing the above kind of link adaptation method.

According to another feature, said link adaptation is applied to an uplink.

According to another feature, said link adaptation is applied to a downlink.

The present invention also provides a mobile radiocommunication network entity including means for implementing the above kind of link adaptation method.

According to another feature, said link adaptation is applied to an uplink.

According to another feature, said link adaptation is applied to a downlink.

The present invention also provides a mobile station including means for implementing the above kind of link adaptation method.

According to another feature, said link adaptation method is applied to a downlink.

According to another feature, said link adaptation method is applied to an uplink.

Other objects and features of the present invention will become apparent on reading the following description of embodiments of the invention, which description is given with reference to the accompanying drawings.

## **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Figure 1 shows a first example of application of a method according to the invention

Figure 2 shows a second example of application of a method according to the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figures 1 and 2 relate to the GPRS system, for example, and the schemes available are therefore the coding schemes CS1 to CS4 as defined in the GPRS system.

For example, figure 1 corresponds to uplink adaptation and figure 2 corresponds to downlink adaptation.

The method shown in figures 1 and 2 is represented in the form of a table. The first column of the table indicates the current coding scheme CS<sub>i</sub> (with I from 1 to 4 in the example considered here of the GPRS system). The second column indicates the conditions for selecting the less rugged coding scheme CS<sub>i+</sub>, from the current coding scheme CS<sub>i</sub>. The third column indicates the conditions for selecting

10

15

20

25

30

35

the more rugged coding scheme CS, from the current coding scheme CS.

As previously indicated, radio conditions are generally represented by a radio criterion or quality indicator such as the raw Bit Error Rate (BER), the BLock Erasure Rate (BLER), the Signal-to-Interference Ratio (SIR), etc.

A radio criterion such as the raw BER or the SIR may be considered more appropriate for link adaptation since, in particular, and unlike the BLER, they do not depend on the coding scheme employed.

In a first embodiment, shown in figures 1 and 2, the radio criterion is the raw BER whenever it is possible to use it. The corresponding radio measurements are denoted RXQUAL, which is the notation employed in GSM Recommendation 05.08 published by the ETSI. For measurements reported to the network by the mobile station, for example, the RXQUAL measurements are quantized using eight levels RXQUAL\_0 to RXQUAL\_7. The average of such measurement results RXQUAL is here denoted AV RXQUAL.

For example, the uplink and downlink decision thresholds are different, the decision threshold used to select the coding scheme CS<sub>n1</sub> from the coding scheme CS<sub>1</sub> on the basis of the measurements RXQUAL being denoted CS\_QUAL\_UL\_i\_i+1 for the uplink and CS\_QUAL\_DL i i+1 for the downlink.

For example, the decision threshold used to select the coding scheme CS<sub>i</sub> from the coding scheme CS<sub>i+1</sub> is different from the threshold used to select the coding scheme CS<sub>i+1</sub> from the coding scheme CS<sub>i</sub>. This prevents the phenomenon of incessant changing between the coding schemes CS<sub>i</sub> and CS<sub>i+1</sub>, which is known as the "ping-pong" phenomenon. In this instance, the decision threshold used to select the coding scheme CS<sub>i</sub> from the coding scheme CS<sub>i+1</sub> is obtained by adding to the decision threshold used for selecting the coding scheme CS<sub>i+1</sub> from the coding scheme CS<sub>i</sub> a hysteresis value which is generally denoted CS\_HST\_UL for the uplink and CS\_HST\_DL for the downlink.

In the example shown here, the hysteresis values are the same for the various decision thresholds. They could be different, however.

In accordance with the invention, and in this example for the average AV RXQUAL, two averages are used:

 an average over a relatively short period, denoted AV\_RXQAL\_ST, for rapidly selecting a more rugged coding and/or modulation scheme if radio conditions are degraded rapidly, or

- an average over a relatively longer period, denoted AV\_RXQUAL\_LT, for

10

15

20

25

30

35

selecting a less rugged coding and/or modulation scheme or a more rugged coding and/or modulation scheme if radio conditions are not rapidly degraded.

This is because:

- to select a less rugged coding scheme, it is necessary to ensure that radio conditions allow it over a sufficiently long time period, but
- on the other hand, if radio conditions are degraded rapidly, the average obtained over a shorter time period improves the responsiveness of the link adaptation algorithm, i.e. enables a more rugged coding scheme to be selected faster, to prevent performance being degraded.

One way of detecting if radio conditions are degraded rapidly or not, for faster selection of a more rugged coding scheme if radio conditions are degraded rapidly, is to compare the averages AV\_RXQUAL\_LT and AV\_RXQUAL\_ST to different decision thresholds. In the example shown here, those different decision thresholds are obtained by taking respective different hysteresis values CS\_HST\_UL\_LT and CS\_HST\_UL\_ST for the uplink (or CS\_HST\_DL\_LT and CS\_HST\_DL\_ST for the downlink), with in this instance CS\_HST\_UL\_ST < CS\_HST\_UL\_LT for the downlink).

Accordingly, in the examples shown, for the uplink:

- the decision threshold for selecting the coding scheme  $CS_i$  from the coding scheme  $CS_{i+1}$  on the basis of the average AV\_RXQUAL\_ST, is denoted:

CS\_QUAL\_UL\_i\_i+1 + CS\_HST\_UL\_ST, and

- the decision threshold for selecting the coding scheme  $CS_i$  from the coding scheme  $CS_{i+1}$  on the basis of the average AV\_RXQUAL\_LT is denoted:

CS\_QUAL\_UL i i+1 + CS HST UL LT.

For the downlink, the expressions are of the same kind, substituting "DL" (signifying "DownLink") for "UL" (signifying "UpLink") in the expression for the thresholds.

Thus, as shown in figure 1 for the uplink:

- the condition for selecting the coding scheme  $\text{CS}_{i+1}$  from the coding scheme  $\text{CS}_i$  can be written:

AV\_RXQUAL\_LT < CS QUAL UL i i+1,

- the condition for selecting the coding scheme  $\text{CS}_i$  from the coding scheme  $\text{CS}_{i+1}$  can be written:

AV\_RXQUAL\_LT > CS\_QUAL\_UL i i+1 + CS\_HST\_UL\_LT

10

20

25

30

35

or

AV\_RXQUAL\_ST > CS\_QUAL\_UL i i+1 + CS\_HST\_UL\_ST.

The expressions for the downlink in figure 2 are of the same type, substituting "DL" for "UL" in the expression for the thresholds.

As previously indicated, in the examples shown in figures 1 and 2, the radio criterion is the raw BER whenever it is possible to use it, the corresponding measurement results being denoted RXQUAL and the average of those measurements results being denoted AV RXQUAL.

The raw BER is generally obtained by comparing the data received, prior to error correcting decoding, with corresponding data obtained after error correcting decoding and then recoded using the same error correcting code as is used on transmission.

Accordingly, in the example considered here of the GPRS system, in which the coding scheme CS4 has a coding rate equal to 1, RXQUAL measurements may not be possible if this coding scheme is used. In particular, RXQUAL measurements may not be possible if the measurements are effected in the mobile station, i.e. for downlink adaptation. If the measurements are effected in the network, i.e. for the case of uplink adaptation, other measurements can be effected (in particular measurements of the Bit Error Probability (BEP)), from which a raw BER estimate can be obtained. As a general rule, BEP measurements can be effected by the network and by the mobile station and thus made available for the uplink and downlink directions. For example, in the EGPRS system, the mobile station reports BEP measurements to the network (average MEAN\_BEP and variance CV\_BEP over four time slots forming a block RLC). BEP measurements are therefore available for the uplink and the downlink (the mobile station no longer effects the RXQUAL measurements and signals them to the network).

This is why, in the figure 1 example, which corresponds in particular to an uplink, the radio criterion consisting of the raw BER can be used in all cases. On the other hand, in the figure 2 example, which corresponds in particular to a downlink, another radio criterion is used when that is necessary. In the figure 2 example that other radio criterion is the SIR, the corresponding radio measurements here being denoted SIR and their average here being denoted AV\_SIR. These radio measurements can be obtained from interference level measurements denoted I\_LEVEL\_TNi, to use the notation employed in GSM Recommendation 05.08, and from signal level measurements, denoted C-VALUE, which is the notation used in

25

5

the same recommendation

Another possibility would be to use the received signal power level (the corresponding radio measurements are denoted RXLEV in GSM Recommendation 05.08).

Thus, in the figure 2 example, in contrast to the figure 1 example, the conditions for selecting the coding scheme CS3 from the coding scheme CS4 are not based on the radio criterion consisting of the raw BER, but instead on the SIR.

In this case, if the conditions for selecting the coding scheme CS4 from the coding scheme CS3 were the same as in figure 1, i.e. were based on the radio criterion consisting of the raw BER, "ping-pong" phenomena could occur between CS3 and CS4, because the conditions could be realized simultaneously for a change from CS3 to CS4 and for a change from CS4 to CS3.

To avoid this kind of drawback, the condition for selecting the coding scheme CS4 from the coding scheme CS3 is based on the raw BER radio criterion and also on the SIR radio criterion.

Furthermore, in the example shown in figure 2:

- the decision threshold used for selecting the coding scheme CS4 from the coding scheme CS3 on the basis of the SIR radio criterion is denoted CS\_SIR\_DL\_3\_4, and
- the decision threshold used to select the coding scheme CS3 from the coding scheme CS4 on the basis of the SIR radio criterion is different, and is denoted CS\_SIR\_DL\_3\_4 + CS\_SIR\_HST\_DL.

Accordingly, as shown in figure 2:

- the condition for selecting the coding scheme CS4 from the coding scheme CS3 can be written:

AV\_RXQUAL < CS\_QUAL\_DL\_3\_4

and

AV\_SIR > CS\_SIR\_DL\_3\_4, and

- the condition for selecting the coding scheme CS4 from the coding
scheme CS3 can be written:

AV\_SIR < CS\_SIR\_DL\_3\_4 + CS\_SIR\_HST\_DL.

The SIR is another radio criterion that can be used in a second embodiment of the method according to the invention.

The second embodiment will not be described in more detail. It can be deduced from the first embodiment previously described, noting that:

20

25

30

35

- in the first embodiment, the value of the radio measurements increases when radio conditions are degraded, whereas in the second embodiment the value of the radio measurements increases when the radio conditions improve; in this case, if the decision thresholds have a first value for determining if radio conditions are degraded rapidly and a second value for determining if radio conditions are not degraded rapidly, said second value is either relatively higher or relatively lower than said first value depending on whether the value of said radio measurements increases or decreases when radio conditions are degraded, and

 in the second embodiment, SIR measurements are possible even if the 10 coding scheme CS4 is used.

Other embodiments would be possible, of course.

Averages, such as AV\_RXQUAL or AV\_SIR, can be calculated in particular by means of an exponential filter. An exponential filter can be defined by the following equation:

$$S_n = (1-\beta). S_{n-1} + \beta. m_n$$

in which  $S_n$  is the actualized average value after the  $n^{th}$  measurement  $m_n$  and  $\beta$  is a parameter of the filter (known as the forget factor).

An exponential filter can provide an average with weights decreasing exponentially with distance relative to the most recent measurement.

However, an exponential filter is exponential as a function of time only if the measurements are equally spaced in time. This condition is not always satisfied, which then makes it difficult to choose the parameter  $\beta$ , because this makes it necessary to have different values according to whether the measurements are more or less spaced in time.

In particular, in the example considered here of the GPRS system, an exponential filter can be used in the network for downlink adaptation, on the basis of radio measurements reported to the network by the mobile station. In particular, the mobile station reports an RXQUAL measurement to the network in an acknowledgement message "Packet Downlink Ack/Nack" that is not usually received periodically by the network. The time difference between these messages being received can be from a few hundred milliseconds up to a few seconds, for example.

An exponential filter as previously described but allowing for the time interval between two measurements is described in GSM Recommendation 05.08 published by the ETSI, and is modified by ETSI contribution SMG2 2-00-0035.

The latter document defines this kind of filter by means of the following two

equations:

5

10

25

30

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= (1 - \beta) z_{n-1} + \beta x_n \\ S_n &= (1 - \beta \frac{x_n}{z_n}) \cdot S_{n-1} + \beta \frac{x_n}{z_n} \cdot m_n \end{aligned}$$

in which  $x_n$  is a variable intended to indicate if a radio measurement exists ( $x_n$  is equal to 1 if a measurement exists or to 0 otherwise).

This kind of filter has the drawback, among others, of having to actualize the actual value even if no measurement is available, which therefore increases the complexity of implementation.

The present invention also provides a filter avoiding such drawbacks.

According to the invention, this result is essentially achieved by means of a filter whose forget factor is expressed directly as a function of the time interval between two measurements or an approximation of that time interval. For the average AV\_RXQUAL\_LT, for example, a filter according to the invention can be defined by the following equations:

15 • 
$$y_{n+1} = \alpha_{I,T}^{\Delta t_n} y_n + 1$$

$$\bullet \quad AV\_RXQUAL\_LT_{s+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_{s+1}}\right) AV\_RXQUAL\_LT_s + \frac{1}{y_{s+1}}RXQUAL_s$$

Similarly, for the average AV\_RXQUAL\_ST, for example, a filter in accordance with the invention can be defined by the following equations:

$$20 \quad \bullet \quad z_{n+1} = \alpha_{ST}^{\Delta t_n} z_n + 1$$

• 
$$AV RXQUAL_ST_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)AV RXQUAL_ST_n + \frac{1}{z}RXQUAL_n$$

In the above expressions:

- AV\_RXQUAL\_ST<sub>n</sub> (respectively AV\_RXQUAL\_ST<sub>n</sub>) is the value of AV\_RXQUAL\_ST (respectively AV\_RXQUAL\_LT) after the n<sup>th</sup> RXQUAL measurement (i.e. after the n<sup>th</sup> "Packet Downlink Ack/Nack" message in the GPRS system).

- RXQUAL<sub>n</sub> is the value of the n<sup>th</sup> RXQUAL measurement (i.e. the value of RXQUAL in the n<sup>th</sup> "Packet Downlink Ack/Nack" message in the GPRS system), and
- $-\Delta t_n$  designates the time interval between the (n-1)<sup>th</sup> measurement and the n<sup>th</sup> measurement (i.e. between the (n-1)<sup>th</sup> "Packet Downlink Ack/Nack" message and the n<sup>th</sup> message in the GPRS system).  $\Delta t_n$  could also designate an approximation of the time interval between two measurements. For example,  $\Delta t_n$  could be

10

20

25

30

approximated by a multiple of a particular time interval T (T being equal to a block period, for example, which is 20 ms in the GPRS and EGPRS systems). The real time interval may in fact not be an exact multiple of 20 ms because of movements of the mobile station, differences between the clocks of the mobile station and the network, etc.

Note that when  $\alpha_{LT}=0$ , no average is calculated and when  $\Delta t_n=T_{LT}$  for any value of n (i.e. when the measurements are reported periodically with a period T), the usual equation

$$AV_RXQUAL_LT_{n+1} = (1-\beta) AV_RXQUAL_LT_n + \beta.RXQUAL_n$$

is approximately verified after an initialization phase (n sufficiently large). The same remarks apply for AV\_RXQUAL\_ST.

To initialize the filters,  $y_0$  and  $z_0$  must be set to zero:

 $y_0 = z_0 = 0$ 

More generally, a filter for calculating an average AV\_M is defined by equations of the following type:

•  $y_{n+1} = \alpha^{\Delta t_n} y_n + 1$ 

• 
$$AV_{-}M_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{y_{n+1}}\right)AV_{-}M_{n} + \frac{1}{y_{n+1}}m_{n+1}$$

in which:

- AV\_M<sub>n+1</sub> is the value of AV\_M after an (n+1)<sup>th</sup> measurement m<sub>n+1</sub>,
- $\Delta t_n$  designates the time interval between the  $n^{th}$  measurement and the  $(n+1)^{th}$  measurement, or an approximation of that time interval, and
  - α is a parameter defining the filter.

Also, the present invention solves a different problem, which is related to the fact that if transmission is not continuous, but regularly interrupted, as is often the case in packet mode data transmission, it is possible for some of the measurements used to select a coding and/or modulation scheme to be impossible during periods in which transmission is interrupted. In particular, in the example previously described of the GPRS system, for the downlink, the RXQUAL measurements cannot be effected in the mobile station if transmission in the downlink direction is interrupted.

The problem that then arises is that of selecting a coding and/or modulation scheme when transmission resumes.

The skilled person knows of two solutions to this problem: for example, in the GPRS system, either a default coding scheme is used (such as the coding

10

15

20

25

scheme CS1 which provides the highest level of protection) or measurements other than the RXQUAL measurements are used, which other measurements can be effected even if transmission is interrupted. Such measurements include SIR measurements effected on a control channel such as the Broadcast Control Channel (BCCH).

The essential drawback of using the coding mode CS1 is that it is not always possible to optimize the radio resources and therefore the net bit rate. Using SIR measurements effected on a control channel has the essential drawback of being insufficiently representative of the required measurements, in particular because the control channel does not have either the same power or the same frequency as the dedicated channel on which the RXQUAL measurements are effected if transmission is not interrupted.

The present invention also avoids these drawbacks.

The present invention essentially proposes, when transmission resumes after an interruption in transmission, using the coding and/or modulation scheme that was being used before the interruption if the interruption period is relatively short or a default coding and/or modulation scheme (such as, in particular, a coding and/or modulation scheme providing the highest level of protection) otherwise.

As a general rule, the method according to the invention can be used in a mobile radiocommunication system for uplink and/or downlink adaptation and can equally well be used in the network (or in one or more of the entities constituting the network) or in the mobile stations.

The entities constituting the network (also referred to herein as mobile radiocommunication network entities) can include such entities as:

- a base station, for example a BTS (base transceiver station) or a Node B, depending on the systems used.
- a base station controller (BSC) or a radio network controller (RNC), for example, or
- Serving GPRS Support Nodes (SGSN) for the GPRS and EGPRS 30 systems.

As a general rule, link adaptation is implemented in a functional entity called the Packet Channel Unit (PCU) in the GPRS and EGPRS systems and which can be located at the BTS, BSC or SGSN.